1 2 3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 4 Northern District of California 5 6 7 TECHNOLOGY & INTELLECTUAL No. C 11-2373 MEJ PROPERTY STRATEGIES GROUP PC, 8 ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S Plaintiff, MOTION FOR SANCTIONS 9 v. **Dkt. No. 49** 10 BASIL P. FTHENAKIS, et al., 11 Defendants. 12 13 On October 26, 2011, Plaintiff Technology & Intellectual Property Strategies Group PC filed a Motion for Sanctions, with a noticed hearing date of December 1, 2011. Dkt. No. 49. Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7-1(b), the Court finds this matter suitable for disposition without oral argument and 15 hereby VACATES the December 1 hearing. In its motion, Plaintiff directs the Court's attention to five statements Defendant Basil Fthenakis made in a declaration that it contends are false. Plaintiff 17 argues that Defendant should be sanctioned for this alleged perjury with the dismissal with prejudice 18 of his counterclaim. However, upon review of Plaintiff's motion, the Court finds that Plaintiff has 19 20 not established any perjury; instead, it has shown that questions of fact exist regarding the termination form at issue in this case. Plaintiff's motion does not conclusively resolve these 22 questions, which are properly resolved in the context of a summary judgment motion or trial. Accordingly, Plaintiff's motion is DENIED. IT IS SO ORDERED. 24 25 26 Dated: October 31, 2011 27 Maria-Elena Jame Chief United States Magistrate Judge 28